White Paper

## **Choosing The Right Optical Design Software**

September 2017



**David Hasenauer,** CODE V Product Manager, S nops s

## Introduction: Maximi Le Your Return on Investment

As a decision maker responsible for making the right choices for our compan 's bottom line, ou understand that the return on an engineering tool investment is a crucial part of our business' growth

Feature list comparisons will not help ou choose the right software for our compan. The return on investment is completed dependent on the superiorit of the algorithms and the implementation details, and depends little on the feature name. CODE V customers have done design stud comparisons with competitive optical design software that prove the time-to-market and cost-to-manufacture savings CODE V can provide.

Our customers found13 (k)13 (e)-8.7 (t a)-125earktOgame-12 (e)-14.3 b3 (o)-9.4 (m)-7.7 lloto-manufacedomcal desa i4an (e)-8.2.9



## **Accurate Results You Can Count On**

Errors in the design process can lead to missed schedules and extra costs, as unwanted redesigns are performed. It is critical for our optical modeling software to exceed the accurace specifications that our own products must meet. CODE V utilities the most accurate algorithms available and has the qualities assurance processes in place to maintain this accurace.

CODE V is known for providing intelligent, engineering-based defaults for its anal sis and optimi ation features. In addition, if CODE V detects that a s stem ma be violating some assumption of the anal sis algorithm, it issues warnings. This means that our engineers can proceed with con dence, and often without extra setup work sometimes required in other software, to achieve useful and accurate results from CODE V.

